I certify that the attached is a true and

correct copy of _HK_1/96 . which 309 MAR -! M 11: 39

was filed of record on FEB 1 6 1989

and referred to the committee on:

By Month

1

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

IT SE BE PEPRESENTATIVES

Mitval Resurvees

Chief Clerk of the House

FILED FEB 16 1989

HB. No. //90

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT 2 relating to approval by the Texas Water Commission of levee 3 improvement district bonds. 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: 5 Subchapter G, Chapter 57, Water Code, is amended by adding Section 57.2075 to read as follows: 6 7

- Sec. 57.2075. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER ISSUANCE OF DISTRICT BONDS. (a) A district may not issue bonds, except refunding bonds, unless the commission determines that the project to be financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order authorizing issuance of the bonds.
- 12 (b) After bonds are approved by the electors of the 13 district, the district may submit to the commission a written 14 application for investigation of feasibility. An engineer's report describing the project, including the data, profiles, maps, plans, 15 16 and specifications prepared in connection with the report, must be 17 submitted with the application.
 - (c) The executive director shall examine the application and the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall, on request, supply the executive director with additional data and information necessary for investigation of the application, the engineer's report, and the project.
- (d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on 23 the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or 24

- 1 improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
- 2 copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
- 3 commission and the district.
- 4 (e) The commission shall consider the application, the
- 5 engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
- 6 evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
- 7 the feasibility of the project.
- 8 (f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
- 9 financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
- 10 approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
- ll bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
- 12 copy of the order to the district.
- SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.
- 14 SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
- 15 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
- 16 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
- 17 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
- days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT ROUSE OF LEAR ESCHIMANICAS

1st Printing

By Marchant

H.B. No. 1190

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT
2	relating to approval by the Texas Water Commission of levee
3	improvement district bonds.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. Subchapter G, Chapter 57, Water Code, is amended
6	by adding Section 57.2075 to read as follows:
7	Sec. 57.2075. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER ISSUANCE OF
8	DISTRICT BONDS. (a) A district may not issue bonds, except
9	refunding bonds, unless the commission determines that the project
10	to be financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order
11	authorizing issuance of the bonds.
12	(b) After bonds are approved by the electors of the
13	district, the district may submit to the commission a written
14	application for investigation of feasibility. An engineer's report
15	describing the project, including the data, profiles, maps, plans,
16	and specifications prepared in connection with the report, must be
17	submitted with the application.
18	(c) The executive director shall examine the application and
19	the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall,
20	on request, supply the executive director with additional data and
21	information necessary for investigation of the application, the
22	engineer's report, and the project.
23	(d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on
24	the project and include suggestions, if any for changes or

H.B. No. 1190

- 1 improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
- 2 copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
- 3 commission and the district.

. ====

- 4 (e) The commission shall consider the application, the
- 5 engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
- 6 evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
- 7 the feasibility of the project.
- 8 (f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
- 9 financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
- 10 approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
- 11 bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
- copy of the order to the district.
- SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.
- 14 SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
- 15 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
- 16 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
- 17 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
- days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

COMMITTEE REPORT

3-29-89

, = = 5

The Honorable Gib Lewis

Speaker of the House of Repre	esentatives			(date)			
Sir:							
We, your COMMITTEE ON NAT	URAL RESOURCES	,					
to whom was referred	whom was referred HB 1190 have had the same under consideration and beg to report (measure)						
back with the recommendation	` '						
(X) do pass, without amendment() do pass, with amendment(s)() do pass and be not printed;		tee Substitute is rec	ommended in lieu of	the original measure.			
A fiscal note was requested. (x)	yes ()no	An actua	rial analysis was req	uested. () yes (x) no			
An author's fiscal statement wa	s requested. () yes			. , ,			
A criminal justice policy impact	statement was prepa	ared. () yes (x) no					
A water development policy imp	pact statement was r	equested. () yes ()	() no				
(x) The Committee recommends placement on the () Local,	s that this measure (x) Consent, or () F	be sent to the Comm	nittee on Local and (Consent Calendars for			
This measure () proposes new	law. (x) amends	existing law.					
House Sponsor of Senate Meas	sure	-					
The measure was reported from							
The measure was reported from	•	iollowing vote:					
O.: 11 T. O.	AYE	NAY	PNV	ABSENT			
Smith, T., Ch.	X						
Willy, V.C.	<u> </u>						
Collazo, C.B.O.				X			
Culberson Holzheauser	X						
Junell				X			
Swift	X						
Wentworth	X X						
Yost	X						
Total			1				
7 aye		- Jen	und De				
0nay		CHAIRMAN					
0 present, no	t voting	Kebou	il K Wal	le			
2 absent		, 10	COORDINATOR				
		I					

HB 1190 By: Marchant Committee on Natural Resources

BILL ANALYSIS

Background

Current law does not require Water Commission approval of levee improvement district bonds, although most water districts are required to get such approval. Present difficulties in the financial markets suggest there is a need for review of all district's bonds.

Purpose of the Bill

This bill would require commission approval of levee improvement district bonds.

Section by Section Analysis

Section 1. Amends Subchapter G, Chapter 57, Water Code to provide for Commission review and approval of all bonds except for refunding bonds. Provides for review procedures.

Section 2. Effective date, September 1, 1989.

Section 3. Emergency clause.

Rulemaking Authority

It is the opinion of this committee that this bill does not delegate rulemaking authority to any state agency, officer, department, or institution.

Summary of Committee Action

HB 1190 was considered in public hearing on March 29, 1989. The author, Representative Marchant, explained the bill. The following persons testified in favor of the bill: Joe Allen, representing the Utility Districts Advisory Corp.; James C. Box, representing the Utility Districts Advisory Corp. and David Harper, representing the Williamson Co. MUD. There were no witnesses against the bill. The motion to report the bill favorably, for placement on the consent calendar, carried with a vote of 7 ayes, 0 nays, 0 PNV and 2 absent.

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE March 13, 1989

In Re: House Bill No. 1190

By: Marchant

Honorable Terral Smith, Chair T0: Committee on Natural Resources House of Representatives
Austin, Texas

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1190 (relating to approval by the Texas Water Commission of levee improvement district bonds) this office has determined the following:

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

The bill would prohibit levee improvement districts from issuing bonds unless the Water Commission finds that the project to be financed is feasible and approves the bonds. The bill would require a district to submit an engineering report when it submits an application for the commission to investigate project feasibility.

The bill would place 48 existing districts under the commission's bond approval authority. During the past two years these districts issued approximately \$25,000,000 in tax bonds per year. Assuming the same level of bond issuance activity local governments would pay the state \$65,500 per year $(0.25 \text{ percent } \times $25,000,000)$ in fees to cover bond review costs. The cost to the Commission is the cost of reviewing financial data and engineering reports and conducting inspections.

The probable fiscal implication of implementing the provisions of the bill during each of the first five years following passage is estimated as follows:

Fiscal Year	Probable Cost Out of the Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Fee Revenue Gain to the Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Cost to Units of Local Government (Bond Issuance Fees)	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 1989
1990	\$45,200	\$62,500	\$62,500	+ 1
1991	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1992	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1993	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1994	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1

Similar annual fiscal implications would continue as long as the provisions of the bill are in effect.

Source: Water Commission:

LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, WRC, LV

HOUSE ENGROSSMENT

By Marchant

H.B. No. 1190

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT
2	relating to approval by the Texas Water Commission of levee
3	improvement district bonds.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. Subchapter G, Chapter 57, Water Code, is amended
6	by adding Section 57.2075 to read as follows:
7	Sec. 57.2075. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER ISSUANCE OF
8	DISTRICT BONDS. (a) A district may not issue bonds, except
9	refunding bonds, unless the commission determines that the project
10	to be financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order
11	authorizing issuance of the bonds.
12	(b) After bonds are approved by the electors of the
13	district, the district may submit to the commission a written
14	application for investigation of feasibility. An engineer's report
15	describing the project, including the data, profiles, maps, plans,
16	and specifications prepared in connection with the report, must be
17	submitted with the application.
18	(c) The executive director shall examine the application and
19	the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall,
20	on request, supply the executive director with additional data and
21	information necessary for investigation of the application, the
22	engineer's report, and the project.
23	(d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on
24	the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or

H.B. No. 1190

- 1 improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
- 2 copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
- 3 <u>commission</u> and the district.
- 4 (e) The commission shall consider the application, the
- 5 engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
- 6 evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
- 7 the feasibility of the project.
- 8 (f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
- 9 financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
- 10 approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
- bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
- 12 copy of the order to the district.
- SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.
- 14 SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
- 15 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
- 16 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
- constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
- 18 days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE March 13, 1989

T0:

Honorable Terral Smith, Chair Committee on Natural Resources House of Representatives Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1190

By: Marchant

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1190 (relating to approval by the Texas Water Commission of levee improvement district bonds) this office has determined the following:

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

The bill would prohibit levee improvement districts from issuing bonds unless the Water Commission finds that the project to be financed is feasible and approves the bonds. The bill would require a district to submit an engineering report when it submits an application for the commission to investigate project feasibility.

The bill would place 48 existing districts under the commission's bond approval authority. During the past two years these districts issued approximately \$25,000,000 in tax bonds per year. Assuming the same level of bond issuance activity local governments would pay the state \$65,500 per year (0.25 percent x \$25,000,000) in fees to cover bond review costs. The cost to the Commission is the cost of reviewing financial data and engineering reports and conducting inspections.

The probable fiscal implication of implementing the provisions of the bill during each of the first five years following passage is estimated as follows:

Fiscal Year	Probable Cost Out of the Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Fee Revenue Gain to the Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Cost to Units of Local Government (Bond Issuance Fees)	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 1989
1990	\$45,200	\$62,500	\$62,500	+ 1
1991	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1992	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1993	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1994	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1

Similar annual fiscal implications would continue as long as the provisions of the bill are in effect.

Source: Water Commission;

LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, WRC, LV

REQUEST FOR LOCAL & UNCONTESTED CALENDAR PLACEMENT

Ion. Bill Sims, Chairman Administration Committee	SAntieskhung
Sir: 110 1191	Brocker
Notice is hereby given that	, by f ff (10)
was heard by the Committee on May was hand reported out with the recommendation that it to	on
Calendar.	
	Clerk of the reporting committee

IMPORTANT: A COPY OF THIS FORM MUST BE ATTACHED TO A PRINTED COPY OF THE BILL OR RESOLUTION, WHICH ALONG WITH 14 ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THE BILL OR RESOLUTION SHOULD BE DELIVERED TO THE OFFICE OF THE COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATION, ROOM 419. PLEASE CALL 3-0350 IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. DEADLINE FOR SUBMITTING BILLS FOR THE LOCAL CALENDAR IS 5:00 P.M. FRIDAY.

Paper clip the original to the bill; retain one copy for reporting committee files; deliver one copy to the bill sponsor.

SENATE FAVORABLE COMMITTEE REPORT

Lt. Governor William P. Hobby President of the Senate				578	(date)/(time)
Sir:					
We, your Committee onNATURAL	RESOURCES	S			_to which was referred
## (measure) by ##	(sponsor)		have on _	(hearing date)	_, 1955, had the same
under consideration and I am instruc	ted to report	it back w	ith the rec	ommendation (s)	that it
(do pass and be printed					
() do pass and be ordered not prin	ted				
(and is recommended for placem	ent on the L	ocal and l	Jnconteste	d Bills Calendar.	
A fiscal note was requested.	(yes	() no			
A revised fiscal note was requested.	() yes	() no			
An actuarial analysis was requested.	() yes	() no			
Considered by subcommittee.	() yes	() no			
Senate Sponsor of House Measure	Sonti	est e b	on	-	

	YEA	NAY	PNV	ABSENT
Santiesteban, Chairman	<i>L</i>			
Lyon, Vice Chairman				L-
Armbrister				1_
Bivins	<i>U</i>			
Brown				
Carriker				
Montford	<i>V</i>			
Ratliff	<i>L</i>			
Sims	4			
Uribe				1
Zaffirini				-
TOTAL VOTES	7			4

Mace Mi Clement CHAIRMAN CHAIRMAN

Paper clip the original and one copy of this form to the original bill and retain one copy for your file.

The measure was reported from Committee by the following vote:

By: Marchant (Senate Sponsor - Santiesteban)

(In the Senate - Received from the House April 17, 1989;
April 17, 1989, read first time and referred to Committee on Natural Resources; May 11, 1989, reported favorably by the following vote: Yeas 7, Nays 0; May 11, 1989, sent to printer.)

COMMITTEE VOTE

	Yea	Nay	PNV	Absent
Santiesteban	x			
Lyon				х
Armbrister				х
Bivins	X			
Brown	x			
Carriker	x			
Montford	x			
Ratliff	х			
Sims	х			
Uribe				х
Zaffirini				x

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED AN ACT

relating to approval by the Texas Water Commission of levee improvement district bonds.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Subchapter G, Chapter 57, Water Code, is amended

by adding Section 57.2075 to read as follows:

Sec. 57.2075. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER ISSUANCE OF
DISTRICT BONDS. (a) A district may not issue bonds, except refunding bonds, unless the commission determines that the project to be financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order authorizing issuance of the bonds.

(b) After bonds are approved by the electors of the district, the district may submit to the commission a written application for investigation of feasibility. An engineer's report describing the project, including the data, profiles, maps, plans, and specifications prepared in connection with the report, must be submitted with the application.

(c) The executive director shall examine the application and the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall, on request, supply the executive director with additional data and information necessary for investigation of the application, the engineer's report, and the project.

(d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the commission and the district.

(e) The commission shall consider the application, the engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining the feasibility of the project.

(f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a copy of the order to the district.

SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.
SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an emergency and an imperative public necessity that constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

1	* * * *
2 3 4 5	Austin, Texas May 11, 1989 Hon. William P. Hobby President of the Senate
6	Sir:
7 8 9 10	We, your Committee on Natural Resources to which was referred H.B No. 1190, have had the same under consideration, and I are instructed to report it back to the Senate with the recommendation that it do pass and be printed.
11	Santiesteban, Chairman

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE April 19, 1989

TO: Honorable H. Tati Santiesteban, Chairman

In Re: House Bill No. 1190,

Committee on Natural Resources

as engrossed By: Marchant

Senate Chamber Austin, Texas

Austin, Texas

Ĩ

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1190, as engrossed (relating to approval by the Texas Water Commission of levee improvement district bonds) this office has determined the following:

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

The bill would prohibit levee improvement districts from issuing bonds unless the Water Commission finds that the project to be financed is feasible and approves the bonds. The bill would require a district to submit an engineering report when it submits an application for the commission to investigate project feasibility.

The bill would place 48 existing districts under the commission's bond approval authority. During the past two years these districts issued approximately \$25,000,000 in tax bonds per year. Assuming the same level of bond issuance activity local governments would pay the state \$65,500 per year (0.25 percent x \$25,000,000) in fees to cover bond review costs. The cost to the Commission is the cost of reviewing financial data and engineering reports and conducting inspections.

The probable fiscal implication of implementing the provisions of the bill during each of the first five years following passage is estimated as follows:

Fiscal	Probable Cost Out of the Water Quality Fund	Probable Fee Revenue Gain to the Water Quality Fund	Probable Cost to Units of Local Government	Change in Number of State Employees
	, -	•	_	
<u>Year</u>	No. 153	<u>No. 153</u>	(Bond Issuance Fees)	<u>from FY 1989</u>
1990	\$45,200	\$62,500	\$62,500	+ 1
1991	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1992	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1993	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1994	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1

Similar annual fiscal implications would continue as long as the provisions of the bill are in effect.

Source: Water Commission;

LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, WRC, PA

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE March 13, 1989

T0: Honorable Terral Smith, Chair Committee on Natural Resources

House of Representatives Austin, Texas

, , , Ç

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director In Re: House Bill No. 1190

By: Marchant

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1190 (relating to approval by the Texas Water Commission of levee improvement district bonds) this office has determined the following:

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

The bill would prohibit levee improvement districts from issuing bonds unless the Water Commission finds that the project to be financed is feasible and approves the bonds. The bill would require a district to submit an engineering report when it submits an application for the commission to investigate project feasibility.

The bill would place 48 existing districts under the commission's bond approval authority. During the past two years these districts issued approximately \$25,000,000 in tax bonds per year. Assuming the same level of bond issuance activity local governments would pay the state \$65,500 per year $(0.25 \text{ percent } \times $25,000,000)$ in fees to cover bond review costs. The cost to the Commission is the cost of reviewing financial data and engineering reports and conducting inspections.

The probable fiscal implication of implementing the provisions of the bill during each of the first five years following passage is estimated as follows:

Fiscal Year	Probable Cost Out of the Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Fee Revenue Gain to the Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Cost to Units of Local Government (Bond Issuance Fees)	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 1989
1990	\$45,200	\$62,500	\$62,500	+ 1
1991	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1992	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1993	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1
1994	42,200	62,500	62,500	+ 1

Similar annual fiscal implications would continue as long as the provisions of the bill are in effect.

Source: Water Commission:

LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, WRC, LV

ENROLLED H.B. No. 1190

1	AN ACT
2	relating to approval by the Texas Water Commission of levee
3	improvement district bonds.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. Subchapter G, Chapter 57, Water Code, is amended
6	by adding Section 57.2075 to read as follows:
7	Sec. 57.2075. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER ISSUANCE OF
8	DISTRICT BONDS. (a) A district may not issue bonds, except
9	refunding bonds, unless the commission determines that the project
10	to be financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order
11	authorizing issuance of the bonds.
12	(b) After bonds are approved by the electors of the
13	district, the district may submit to the commission a written
14	application for investigation of feasibility. An engineer's report
15	describing the project, including the data, profiles, maps, plans,
16	and specifications prepared in connection with the report, must be
17	submitted with the application.
18	(c) The executive director shall examine the application and
19	the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall,
20	on request, supply the executive director with additional data and
21	information necessary for investigation of the application, the
22	engineer's report, and the project.
23	(d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on
24	the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or

H.B. No. 1190

- 1 improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
- 2 copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
- 3 commission and the district.
- 4 (e) The commission shall consider the application, the
- 5 engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
- 6 evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
- 7 the feasibility of the project.
- 8 (f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
- 9 financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
- 10 approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
- 11 bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
- copy of the order to the district.
- SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.
- 14 SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
- 15 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
- 16 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
- 17 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
- days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

President of the Senate	Speaker of the House
I certify that H.B. No. April 13, 1989, by a non-record v	1190 was passed by the House on
	Chief Clerk of the House O was passed by the Senate on
May 20, 1989, by the following vo	
	Secretary of the Senate
APPROVED:	
Date	
Governor	

I certify that H.B. No. 1989, by a non-record vote (1) Chief Clerk of the F I certify that H.B. No. 1989, by the Senate on (3) Yeas (4), Nays (5)			
Chief Clerk of the F I certify that H.B. No. 190 was passed by the Senate on (3) Yeas (4), Nays (5) Secretary of the Senate APPROVED:	President	of the Senate	Speaker of the House
Chief Clerk of the F I certify that H.B. No. 190 was passed by the Senate on (3) Yeas (4), Nays (5) Secretary of the Senate APPROVED:	I certif	y that H.B. No. (1)	$\frac{1}{1}$ was passed by the House
I certify that H.B. No. 1989, by the following votes $\frac{1}{4}$, Nays $\frac{1}{4}$, Nays $\frac{1}{4}$ (5) Secretary of the Senate APPROVED:		(2) April 13	, 1989, by a non-record vote.
Secretary of the Sen		•	Chief Clerk of the House
Secretary of the Sen	I certify	that H.B. No. 1190 (3)	was passed by the Senate , 1989, by the following vote:
APPROVED:	$\frac{1}{4}$, s <u>U</u>	(5)
			Secretary of the Senate
Date	ROVED:		
		Date	
Governor		Governor	

**** Preparation: 'A;CT27;

Н. В. No.	1190
: 140,	

- Miller	
By Muchof	

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

Relating to approval by the Texas Water Commission of levee improvement district bonds.

FEB 1 6 1989	1. Filed with the Chief Clerk.
MAR 1 1989	2. Read first time and Referred to Committee on
MAR 2 9 1989	Natural Resources
•	3. Reported favorably (as substituted) and sent to Printer at : 50 g m APR 3 1000
7PR 4 1989	4. Printed and distributed at 10:54 am
APR 4 1989	5. Sent to Committee on Calendars at 11:51am
APR 1 3 1989	6. Read second time (amended); passed to third reading (falled) by (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of
•	7. Motion to reconsider and table the vote by which H.B was ordered engrossed prevailed (failed) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of yeas, nays, and present, not voting).
	8. Constitutional Rule requiring bills to be read on three several days suspended (failed to suspend) by a four-fifths vote of yeas, nays, and present, not voting.

APR 13 1989	9. Read third time (amended); finally passed (failed) by (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote)
	of yeas, precent, not voting).
	_ 10. Caption ordered amended to conform to body of bill.
	11. Motion to reconsider and table the vote by which H. B was finally passed prevailed (failed) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of yeas, nays, and present, not voting).
'APR 13 1989	12. Ordered Engrossed at #: 29cm
APR 1 3 1989	_ 13. Engrossed.
APR 1 3 1989	14. Returned to Chief Clerk at
APR 1 7 1989	15. Sent to Senate.
	Chief Clerk of the House
PAPER 17 151	16. Received from the House
TACT OF ST	NATURAL RESOURCES 17. Read, referred to Committee on
MAY 1 1 1989	. 18. Reported favorably
	19. Reported adversely, with favorable Committee Substitute; Committee Substitute read first time.
	20. Ordered not printed.
	21. Regular order of business suspended by (a viva voce vote.) (

	22. To permit consideration, reading and passage, Senate and Constitutional Rules suspended by vote of
MAY 2.0 1989	23. Read second time passed to third reading by: (a viva voce vote) yeas,
	24. Caption ordered amended to conform to body of bill.
MAY 2 0 1989	25. Senate and Constitutional 3-Day Rules suspended by vote of yeas, nays to place bill on third reading and final passage.
MAY 2 0 1989	26. Read third time and passed by (a viva voce vote.) (3/ veas 0 navs.)
OTHER ACTION:	OTHER ACTION: Secretary of the Senate
May 20, 1989	27. Returned to the House.
MAY 2 0 1990	28. Received from the Senate (with omendments.)
	29. House (Concurred) (Refused to Concur) in Senate (Amendments) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of yeas, nays, present, not voting).
	30. Conference Committee Ordered.
MAY 20 1980	31. Conference Committee Report Adopted (Rejected) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of

89 APR 13 PM 1:53

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

42:01 HA 4- 89 48

ohll